

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/830,376	04/25/2001	Yoshikazu Satoh	РНЈ 99,016	7757
	7590 02/12/2007		EXAM	INER
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLE	CTUAL PROPERTY DE	CHERY, MARDOCHEE		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY I	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA			2188	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	02/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,376	SATOH, YOSHIKAZU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mardochee Chery	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Description</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,9-16 and 18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-7,9-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ite	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom / ipprioditor)	

the results that follow.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's communication filed on December 7, 2006 in response to PTO Office Action mailed on September 7, 2006. The Applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with
- 2. In response to the Office Action mailed on September 7, 2006, no claims have been amended, added or canceled. Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 9-16, and 18 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 7, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Higashida is not believed to teach or suggest the claimed features, including the feature that "when plural data having been written into the memory are read in a row direction, plural data which is next to be written are sequentially written in the row direction, and on the other hand, when plural data having been written into the memory are read in a column direction, plural data which is the next to be written are sequentially written in the column direction".

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Higashida clearly discloses 128-bytes of data is written into memory 1002 in a row direction 9001 of Fig. 17 and execute

Art Unit: 2188

the writing of the data [col. 21, II 57-60] where data are sequentially written in the row direction, and when the writing of data into each row is completed, writing of data in the row direction of the next column is executed [Fig. 20, col. 23, II 38-46], when the writing of data into each column is completed, the writing is advanced in the column direction of the data in a direction in which the row address increases [col. 38, II 6-9] and for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data [col. 21, II 65-67]. In view of the foregoing discussion, the claimed invention is not patentably distinct from Higashida in view of Biro. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 3-4, 7, 9-16, and 18 is indeed maintained and reiterated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, and 15-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Higashida et al. (6,826,181).

As per claim 1, Higashida et al. discloses a data writing/reading method of sequentially writing a plurality of data into a memory in a write direction [the generating]

means executes the interleave process by writing the data; col.3, lines 34-35; buffer memory 3000 in a first direction (write direction of Fig.21 (C); col.24, lines 50-51; data into a plurality of blocks (plurality of data); col.2, lines 46-47]; and sequentially reading the plurality of data written into the memory in a read direction [for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67; memory 3000 in a second direction (read direction of Fig. 21(C)); col.24, lines 53-55; data into a plurality of blocks; col.2, lines 46-47]; characterized in that a first plurality of data is written into the memory in a first write direction [writing the data into the storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction; col.3, lines 35-37]; the first plurality of data being read from the memory in a first read direction different from the first write direction, a second plurality of data being written after the first plurality of data in a second write direction which is equal to or is opposite to the first read direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; writing the data into a storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction, reading from the storage apparatus the data in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction of the matrix; col.3, lines 35-39]; wherein when plural data having written into the memory at present are read in a row direction, plural data which is the next to be written are sequentially written in the row direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; 128-bytes data is written into memory 1002 in the row direction 9001 of Fig.17 and executes the writing of data; col.21, lines 57-60], on the other hand, when plural data having written into the memory at present are read in a column direction, plural data which is the next to be written are sequentially written in the column direction [data are sequentially written in the row direction, and when the writing of data into each row is completed, writing of data in the row direction of the next column is executed; reading is executed in a unit in the column direction; Fig.20, col.23, lines 38-46].

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 3, Higashida et al. discloses plural data are arranged into the memory in matrix structures having n by n blocks [a storage apparatus having a matrix form; col.3, lines 36-37]; each of the blocks having at least one address, and each of the blocks for writing at least one data into an area corresponding to the one address of each of the blocks [when the writing of data into each column is completed, the writing is advanced in the column direction of the data in a direction in which the row address increases; col.38, lines 6-9].

As per claim 15, Higashida et al. discloses a memory for sequentially writing a plurality of data into a memory in a write direction [the generating means executes the interleave process by writing the data; col.3, lines 34-35; buffer memory 3000 in a first direction (write direction of Fig.21 (C); col.24, lines 50-51; data into a plurality of blocks (plurality of data); col.2, lines 46-47]; and sequentially reading the plurality of data written into the memory in a read direction [for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67; memory 3000 in a second direction (read direction of Fig. 21(C)); col.24, lines 53-55; data into a plurality of blocks; col.2, lines 46-47]; characterized in that a first plurality of data is written into the memory in a first write direction [writing the data into the storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction; col.3, lines 35-37]; the first plurality of data being read from the memory in a first read direction different from the first write direction, a second plurality of data being written after the first plurality of data in a second write direction which is equal to or is opposite to the first read direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; writing the data into a storage apparatus

having a matrix form in a first direction, reading from the storage apparatus the data in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction of the matrix; col.3, lines 35-39].

As per claim 16. Higashida et al. discloses a memory drive apparatus [transmission and storage apparatus; col.3, lines 45-48]; sequentially writing a plurality of data into a memory in a write direction [the generating means executes the interleave process by writing the data; col.3, lines 34-35; buffer memory 3000 in a first direction (write direction of Fig.21 (C); col.24, lines 50-51; data into a plurality of blocks (plurality of data); col.2, lines 46-47]; and sequentially reading the plurality of data written into the memory in a read direction [for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and seguentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67; memory 3000 in a second direction (read direction of Fig. 21(C)); col.24, lines 53-55; data into a plurality of blocks; col.2, lines 46-47]; characterized in that a first plurality of data is written into the memory in a first write direction [writing the data into the storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction; col.3, lines 35-37]; the first plurality of data being read from the memory in a first read direction different from the first write direction, a second plurality of data being written after the first plurality of data in a second write direction which is equal to or is opposite to the first read direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; writing the data into a storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction, reading from the storage apparatus the data in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction of the matrix; col.3, lines 35-39].

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 18, Higashida et al. discloses the apparatus provides with addressing means for addressing the memory [the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67]; plural data are arranged into the memory in matrix structures having n by n blocks [a storage apparatus having a matrix form; col.3, lines 36-37]; each of the blocks having at least one address, and each of the blocks for writing at least one data into an area corresponding to the one address of each of the blocks [when the writing of data into each column is completed, the writing is advanced in the column direction of the data in a direction in which the row address increases; col.38, lines 6-9].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4, 6-7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashida et al. (6,826,181) in view of Biro et al. (5,995,080).

As per claim 4, the rationale in the rejection of claim 1 above is herein incorporated. Higashida et al. further discloses a data writing/reading method of sequentially writing a plurality of data into a memory in a write direction [the generating means executes the interleave process by writing the data; col.3, lines 34-35; buffer memory 3000 in a first direction (write direction of Fig.21 (C); col.24, lines 50-51; data into a plurality of blocks

Art Unit: 2188

(plurality of data); col.2, lines 46-47]; and sequentially reading the plurality of data written into the memory in a read direction [for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67; memory 3000 in a second direction (read direction of Fig. 21(C)); col.24, lines 53-55; data into a plurality of blocks; col.2, lines 46-47]; characterized in that a first plurality of data is written into the memory in a first write direction [writing the data into the storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction; col.3, lines 35-37]; the first plurality of data being read from the memory in a first read direction different from the first write direction, a second plurality of data being written after the first plurality of data in a second write direction which is equal to or is opposite to the first read direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; writing the data into a storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction, reading from the storage apparatus the data in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction of the matrix; col.3, lines 35-39].

However Higashida et al. does not specifically teach a method of de-interleaving. Biro et al. discloses a method of de-interleaving [a method for providing de-interleaving of data using a storage device; col.2, lines 63-65] to provide output data that is stored in an interleaved format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware (col.3, lines 8-12).

Since the technology for implementing a storage system with a method of deinterleaving was well known as evidenced by Biro et al., and since a method of deinterleaving in a storage system provides output data that is stored in an interleaved

format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware, an artisan would have been motivated to implement this feature in the system of Higashida et al.. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made by applicant, to modify the system of Higashida et al. to include a method of de-interleaving because it was well known to provide output data that is stored in an interleaved format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware (col.3, lines 8-12) as taught by Biro et al..

As per claim 6, Higashida et al. discloses plural data are arranged into the memory in matrix structures having n by n blocks [a storage apparatus having a matrix form; col.3, lines 36-37]; each of the blocks having at least one address, and each of the blocks for writing at least one data into an area corresponding to the one address of each of the blocks [when the writing of data into each column is completed, the writing is advanced in the column direction of the data in a direction in which the row address increases; col.38, lines 6-9].

As per claim 7, the rationale in the rejection of claim 1 above is herein incorporated. Higashida et al. further discloses a data processing method (Fig.4, data processing circuit 104; col.6, lines 42-43; the transmission can be achieved by executing the process twice; col.22, lines 33-34]; a first step of interleaving a plurality of data [data into a plurality of blocks; a first processing means for executing a first interleave process; col.3, lines 43-47]; sequentially writing a plurality of data into a memory in a write direction [the generating means executes the interleave process by writing the data; col.3, lines 34-35; buffer memory 3000 in

a first direction (write direction of Fig. 21 (C); col.24, lines 50-51; data into a plurality of blocks (plurality of data); col.2, lines 46-47]; and sequentially reading the plurality of data written into the memory in a read direction [for the reading, the interleave control circuit 1003 generates an address and sequentially reads the data; col.21, lines 65-67; memory 3000 in a second direction (read direction of Fig. 21(C)); col.24, lines 53-55; data into a plurality of blocks; col.2, lines 46-47]; characterized in that a first plurality of data is written into the memory in a first write direction [writing the data into the storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction; col.3, lines 35-37]; the first plurality of data being read from the memory in a first read direction different from the first write direction, a second plurality of data being written after the first plurality of data in a second write direction which is equal to or is opposite to the first read direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; writing the data into a storage apparatus having a matrix form in a first direction, reading from the storage apparatus the data in a second direction perpendicular to the first direction of the matrix; col.3, lines 35-39].

However, Higashida et al. does not specifically teach a second step of de-interleaving. Biro et al. discloses a second step of de-interleaving [to provide de-interleaving, the first order of bytes includes a plurality of interleaved bytes of different types of data, and the second output order of bytes comprises a plurality of bytes of the same type of data; col.3, lines 1-4] to provide output data that is stored in an interleaved format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware (col.3, lines 8-12).

Since the technology for implementing a storage system with a second step of de-interleaving was well known as evidenced by Biro et al., and since a second step of

de-interleaving in a storage system provides output data that is stored in an interleaved format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware, an artisan would have been motivated to implement this feature in the system of Higashida et al.. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made by applicant, to modify the system of Higashida et al. to include a second step of de-interleaving because it was well known to provide output data that is stored in an interleaved format to other logic in a block format while using a minimal amount of additional hardware (col.3, lines 8-12) as taught by Biro et al..

As per claim 9, Higashida discloses the first step contains configuring a super frame having plural frames, each of the frames formed by arranging plural data in matrix form, and contains interleaving the plural data configuring the super frame [execute the interleave process by writing data into a storage having a matrix form; col.3, lines 34-37; a first interleave processing means for executing a first interleave process by writing data into a first storage having a first matrix form; second interleave processing means for executing a second interleave process by writing data into a second storage having a second matrix form; each storage (frame) stores matrix/matrices which store(s) plural data; col3, lines 46-61].

As per claim 10, Higashida et al. discloses plural data are arranged into the memory in matrix structures having n by n blocks [a storage apparatus having a matrix form; col.3, lines 36-37]; each of the blocks having at least one address, and each of the blocks for writing at least one data into an area corresponding to the one address of

each of the blocks [when the writing of data into each column is completed, the writing is advanced in the column direction of the data in a direction in which the row address increases; col.38, lines 6-9].

As per claim 11, Higashida et al. discloses when plural data having written into the memory at present are read in a row direction, plural data which is the next to be written are sequentially written in the row direction [packet transmission (plurality of data); col.3, lines 27-28; 128-bytes data is written into memory 1002 in the row direction 9001 of Fig. 17 and executes the writing of data; col.21, lines 57-60], on the other hand, when plural data having written into the memory at present are read in a column direction, plural data which is the next to be written are sequentially written in the column direction [data are sequentially written in the row direction, and when the writing of data into each row is completed, writing of data in the row direction of the next column is executed; reading is executed in a unit in the column direction; Fig. 20, col.23, lines 38-46].

Regarding claim 11, although Higashida et al. and Biro et al. do not specifically teach each of the frames formed by arranging (203x48) data in matrix form, and interleaving (203x48x8) data, such limitations are merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious in the system of Higashida et al.. Higashida et al. teaches a two-dimensional matrix, and the frames become the multiple of 48 bytes to execute the interleave process and express data write and read control. The limitations in claim 11 do not define a patentably distinct invention over that in Higashida et al. since both the invention as a whole and Higashida et al. are directed to configuring and interleaving

the super frame. The size of the data in the matrix is inconsequential for the invention as a whole and presents no new or unexpected results, as long as the data is in matrix form. Therefore, to have each of the frames formed by arranging (203x48) data in matrix form, and interleaving (203x48x8) data would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

As per claims 12-14, the rationale in the rejection of claim 10 is herein incorporated.

Regarding claims 12-14, although Higashida et al. and Biro et al. do not specifically teach a super frame having eight frames, each of the frames formed by arranging (203x48) data in matrix form, interleaving (203x48x8) data, and each block having 4 or 26 addresses, such limitations are merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious in the system of Higashida et al.. Higashida et al. teaches a two-dimensional matrix, and the frames become the multiple of 48 bytes, to execute the interleave process and express data write and read control, and control circuit 1003 generates an address to sequentially read the data in the row direction of the matrix. The limitations in claims 12-14 do not define a patentably distinct invention over that in Higashida et al. since both the invention as a whole and Higashida et al. are directed to configuring, interleaving the super frame, and sequentially reading the data. The size of the data in the matrix is inconsequential for the invention as a whole and presents no new or unexpected results, as long as the data is in matrix form. Therefore, to have

each of the frames formed by arranging (203x48) data in matrix form, and interleaving (203x48x8) data would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mardochee Chery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2188

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 5, 2007

orenvision .

2-8-07

Mardochee Chery

Page 15

Examiner AU: 2188